



Surveillance for AMR, global and local perspective

Olga Perovic, Principal Pathologist

Centre for Opportunistic, Tropical and Hospital Infections (COTHI), NICD Associate Professor at WITS

14th February 2015

Layout

- Global aspects of AMR surveillance
- GERMS SA surveillance
- Electronic surveillance
- SASCM
- Recommendations



Purpose of surveillance

- To measure magnitude of AMR
- To recommend empirical treatment
- To identify new resistance
- To assess trends of resistance
- To measure burden of AMR and risk factors



Priorities for AMR surveillance

- Stakeholders mandate to conduct surveillance
- Risk assessment for AMR
- Prioritization of pathogens setting for AMR
- Identify core and enhanced epidemiological information



The function of surveillance

- To recognize differences at local, national, regional and global surveillance levels and apply efficiently.
- To obtain data at local level as foundation for specific epidemiological purpose
- To utilize diagnostic stewardship



Metric for AMR, WHO recommendation-the global AMR surveillance system



Global list of priority organisms for AMR surveillance for initial implementation





Bacteria commonly causing infections in hospitals and in the community

Bacteria mainly causing infections in the community

Name of bacterium/ resistance	Examples of typical diseases	Name of bacterium/ resistance	Examples of typical diseases	
Escherichia coli/ - vs 3 rd gen. cephalosporins	Urinary tract infections, blood stream infections	Streptococcus pneumoniae/ - non-susceptible or resistant to penicillin	Pneumonia, meningitis, otitis	
Klebsiella pneumoniae/	Pneumonia, blood stream infections, urinary tract	Nontyphoidal Salmonella/ - vs fluoroquinolones	Foodborne diarrhoea, blood stream infections	
 vs 3rd gen. cephalosporins vs 3rd carbapenems 	Infections	Shigella species/	Diarrhoea ("bacillary dysenteria")	
Staphylococcus aureus/	Wound infections, blood stream infections	Neisseria gonorrhoea/	Gonorrhoea	

Initial implementation phase of global surveillance :

- 1. Proposed framework in 2015
- 2. Identification of countries with core infrastructure for surveillance
- 3. Acceptance of priority infections and pathogens
- 4. Invitation to commit to AMR surveillance trough MOU
- 5. Reporting surveillance at national level
- 6. Submission aggregated data at regional and global levels.

GERMS-SA case definitions for laboratory-confirmed cases at health care facilities within SA

				Diarrhoeagenic Escherichia coli	Lower gastrointestinal tract (stool or rectal swab)	0	Culture positive	Not specified
				Vibrio spp.	Any site	0	Culture positive	Not specified
	A:			Campylobacter	Any site	0	Culture positive	Not specified
Pathogen	Site of specimen	Acceptable laboratory diagnostic test	Recurrent case (in the same patient)	Streptococcus pneumoniae	Any normally sterile body site*	0	Culture positive or Latex agglutination test positive and	Laboratory confirmation > 21 days after first
Cryptococcus spp.	Any site	 India ink positive or Cryptococcal antigen (CrAg) test positive or 	laboratory confirmation or Laboratory confirmation ≥ 30				supporting evidence (consistent Gram stain or PCR positive)	confirmed lab diagnosis
		 Culture positive 	days after first confirmed lab diagnosis, where admission data is unavailable	Neisseria meningitidis	Any normally sterile body site*	0	Culture positive or Latex agglutination test positive and supporting evidence (consistent Gram	Laboratory confirmation > 21 days after first confirmed lab diagnosis
Saimonella enterica (including	Any site	 Culture positive 	Laboratory confirmation > 21				stain or PCR. positive)	
Saimonella Typhi)			days after first confirmed lab diagnosis	Haemophilus spp.	Any normally sterile body site*	0 0	Culture positive or Latex agglutination test positive and supporting	Laboratory confirmation > 21 days after first confirmed lab
Shigella spp.	Any site	 Culture positive 	Laboratory confirmation > 21 days after first confirmed lab				evidence (consistent Gram stain or PCR positive)	diagnosis
	ı			Staphylococcus aureus	Blood culture only	0	Culture positive	Laboratory confirmation > 21 days after first confirmed lab diagnosis
				Other ESKAPE organisms	Blood culture only	0	Culture positive	Laboratory confirmation > 21 days after first confirmed lab diagnosis
				Carbapenem- producing Enterobacteriaceae CPEs (Klebsiella spp., E. coli, Enterobacter spp., Serratia spp., Providencia spp., Citrobacter spp.)	Blood culture only	<u>0</u>	Culture positive (resistant to ertapenem)	Laboratory confirmation > 21 days after first confirmed lab diagnosis

Electronic surveillance

- Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (AST) individual reports are sent timely to the clinician.
- Provide antimicrobial directed treatment.
- Alert system for pathogens of importance
- Compile data from routine laboratories.
- Corporate Data Warehouse (CDW) reports on AST.
- 2012, 2013 and 2014 reports were released at FIDSSA web site and published at NICD bulletin.



Antimicrobial resistance surveillance from sentinel public hospitals, South Africa, 2013 and comparison with 2012 data

Methods

All data were sourced from the National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS) Corporate Data Warehouse (CDW). This is a national repository for all public health hospitals in South Africa and contains archived data from two laboratory information systems (LIS), DISALAB and TrakCare.²

Bloodstream infections for the period January to December 2013 were extracted for the following pathogens ESKAPE: Acinetobacter baumannii complex, Enterobacter cloacae complex, Escherichia coli, Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus. Routine data were collected from sentinel sites (mostly academic sites)







Limitations of electronic submission

- Laboratory standardization at national level
- Laboratory quality system measured by national accreditation body.
- Data are reported as received through the CDW.
- No clinical data or epidemiological data were available to distinguish between hospitalassociated and community acquired infections.

AMR surveillance strategy in current situation and recommendations

Laboratory based surveillance for AMR

- Selection of sentinel sites (when population-based surveillance is not feasible
- National reference laboratories to: confirm AST, detect unusual resistance and outbreak, implement national laboratory standards
- Laboratory networks

Electronic surveillance

- Alert system from laboratory information system compiled trough corporate data warehouse
- Collection of data from LIS according case definition exclusion and inclusion criteria and defining denominator data-CDW
- Laboratory quality management systems
- Data reporting
- Surveillance for consumption of antimicrobial agents in humans and animals.
- Needs for additional resources

Recommendations

- Surveillance for AMR need to be ongoing in order to identify trends as well as possible outbreaks (CDW alert system.
- National laboratory standardization, and accreditation
- Compiling public and private electronic data in centralized national resistance map
- GERMS methodology to be used as a pilot
- Global collaboration and networking
- Surveillance for consumption of antimicrobial agents in humans and animals.
- Needs for additional resources

Thank you for your attention