



ANTIBIOTIC PIPELINE

- Antibiotics have saved countless lives since the discovery of sulfonamides and betalactams in the 1930s
- These breakthrough discoveries initiated a "golden era" of antibiotic research~40 years
- During 1970s -1999: innovative antibiotic pipeline dried up
- All launched antibiotics: analogues of existing drugs except mupirocin

ANTIBIOTIC PIPELINE

- $\scriptstyle \odot$ Since 2000, 5 more new classes of antibiotics launched:
- 1. Linezolid (systemic, approved 2000)
- 2. Daptomycin (systemic, approved 2003)
- 3. Retapamulin (topical, approved 2007)
- 4. Fidaxomicin (*Clostridium difficile infections, approved 2010*)
- 5. Bedaquiline (systemic, approved 2012)

The Journal of Antibiotics(2013)66,571-591

ANTIBIOTIC PIPELINE

Recent years : evidence of return to pre-antibiotic era:

- 1. increasing threat of drug-resistant 'superbugs'
- 2. the dearth of new classes of antibiotics
- 3. disengagement of most pharmaceutical companies from antibiotic research:
- economic challenges-comparably poor returns
- regulatory challenges: costly phase-III trialsonerous recruitment requirements needed to fulfil non-inferiority conditions mandated by the FDA

ANTIBIOTIC PIPELINE

 In 1990: 18 large pharmaceutical companies actively engaged in antibiotic R&D, today= 4 :

- 1. AstraZeneca (London,UK)
- 2. Novartis (Basel, Switzerland)
- 3. GSK (London, UK)
- 4. Sanofi-Aventis (Paris, France)
- Pfizer (Groton, CT, USA), closed its antibiotic antibiotics R&D center, Connecticut: 2011



Year approved	Drug name ^{a p}	Class	Bacteria type⁰	Lead source	NP-lead source organism
2000	Linezolid	Oxazo lid inone	G+ve	s	
2001	Telithromycin	Macrolide	G+ve/G-ve	NP derived	Actinomycete
2002	Biapenem	Carbapenem	G+ve/G-ve	NP derived	Actinomycete
2002	Ertapenem	Carbapenem	G+ve/G-ve	NP derived	Actinomycete
2002	Prulifloxacin	Fluoroquinolone	G + ve/G -ve	S	
2002	Pazufloxacin	Fluoroquinolone	G+ve/G-ve	S	
2002	Balofloxacin	Fluoroquinolone	G+ve/G-ve	s	
2003	Daptomycin ^b	Lipopeptide	G+ve	NP	Actinomycete
2004	Gemifloxacin	Fluoroquinolone	G+ve/G-ve	S	
2005	Doripenem	Carbapenem	G + ve/G -ve	NP derived	Actinomycete
2005	Tigecycline	Tetracycline	G+ve/G-ve	NP derived	Actinomycete
2007	Retapamulin ^{b,d,e}	Pleuromutilin	G+ve	NP derived	Fungus
2007	Garenoxacin	Quinolone	G+ve/G-ve	S	
2008	Ceftobiprole medocaril	Cephalosporin	G + ve/G -ve	NP derived	Fungus
2008	Sitafloxac in	Fluoroquinolone	G + ve/G -ve	S	
2009	Tebipenem pivoxil	Carbapenem	G+ve/G-ve	NP derived	Actinomycete
2009	Telavancin	Glycopeptide	G+ve	NP derived	Actinomycete
2009	Antofloxacin	Fluoroquinolone	G+ve/G-ve	S	
2009	Besifloxacine	Fluoroquinolone	G + ve/G -ve	s	
2010	Ceftaroline fosamil	Cephalosporin	G + ve/G -ve	NP derived	Fungus
2011	Fidaxomicin (1)b	Tiacumic in	G+ve	NP	Actinomycete
2012	Bedaquiline (2)b	Diarylquinoline	G+ve (TB)	s	







FIDAXOMICIN

- First drug for CDAD to be approved in nearly 25 years
- Contains a narrow spectrum 18-ring macrolide antibiotic, belongs to tiacumicin class
- Originally known as lipiarmycin A4
 Produced by fungus: Actinoplanes
- deccanensis [Coronelli et al. 1975]
- Approved by FDA -2010, launched-2011













- Recommended dose: 200 mg tablet twice daily X 10 days with/without food [Optimer Pharmaceuticals, 2011]
- adults >18yrs old with suspected CDAD
- Safety and efficacy not studied in the pediatric population

FIDAXOMICIN SAFETY PROFILE

- Good safety profile in a wide population with minimal adverse side effects
- Minor GIT S/E, elevated transaminases and hyperuricemia
- No dose adjustment in elderlies/patients with impaired renal function
- Theoretical concern: interaction between cyclosporine and fidaxomicin due to the Pglycoprotein (P-gp) efflux pump

FIDAXOMICIN RESISTANCE

- C. difficile has minimal ability to develop spontaneous resistance to fidaxomicin in vitro and in clinical studies
- Resistance frequency= 2.8 × 10 at 4-8 X MIC: similar to both vancomycin and metronidazole
- However, in one single strain isolated from a cured patient, an elevated fidaxomicin MIC of 16 μg/ml was noted at recurrence
- At baseline, prior to receiving therapy, the same strain had MIC of 0.06 μg/ml
- A specific mutation in the RNA polymerase

FIDAXOMICIN

- CDAD is a major cause of healthcareassociated diarrhea and with few treatment therapies
- Fidaxomicin narrow spectrum of activity and ease of administration make it an attractive alternative to current therapies



BEDAQUILINE It is the first anti-TB agent to be approved by the FDA in more than 40 years A novel oral anti-mycobacterial agent, belongs to class diarylquinoline Compounded with fumaric acid (1:1) as bedaquiline fumarate (Sirturo, Janssen Products, LP) Originally developed thru Tibotec and the TB Alliance partnership Bedaquiline fumarate (Creater and the TB Alliance partnership)

BEDAQUILINE

- MOA: Inhibits the proton pump activity of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) synthase in MTB
- Unlike in many other bacteria, ATP synthase is essential for the optimal growth of MTB
- Targets the central region of the c subunit of the enzyme, halting the energy production process, inhibiting reproduction, lt cell death



BEDAQUILINE SOA

- It exerts a bactericidal and sterilizing activity against MTB, including MDR strains, non replicating dormant strains and selected NTMs
- M leprae in a murine leprosy model

Bio- availability: incr. -2-fold with meal containing approx 22 g of fat. Plasma protein binding >99.9% CYP3A4 of the cytochrome P450 system metabolised Long t ½ =approx 5.5 months independent of the administered dose (slow release of bedag and M2 from peripheral tissues-phospholipidosis) Primarily eliminated in the stools

BEDAQUILINE INDICATION/DOSING The FDA granted fast approval, an "orphan drug" designation to Rx adults(>18yrs) with MDR-PTB as part of a combination therapy when an effective treatment regimen cannot otherwise be provided (Dec 2012)

 Recommended dose =400 mg orally once daily 1st 2 wks, 200 mg 3x/ week thereafter for a total of 24 weeks with food (DOT)

Chahine et al Table I. In Vitro Activity of Bedaquiline^a Against Mycobacterium tuberculosis.^{18,19} Organism Isolates, n Range of MICs (µg/mL) M tuberculosis Drug susceptible^{b,c} 0.002-0.12 41 Multidrug resistant^{b,c} 44 0.004-0.13 ^aSusceptibility to bedaquiline was determined using an agar dilution ^bMIC = = minimum inhibitory concentration for 50% of tested isolates Truc₁₀ = minimum inhibitory concentration for 50% of tested isolates = 0.38 μ g/mL full c₁₀ = minimum inhibitory concentration for 90% of tested isolates = 0.06 μ g/mL

Organism	Isolates, n	Range of MICs (µg/mL
Mycobacterium avium complex ^b	22	0.03-0.25
M abscessus ^c	1	NR
M chelonae	3	0.06-0.5
M conspicuum	1	0.13
M fortuitum	3	0.13-0.25
M gordonae	1	0.03
M hiberniae	1	0.03
M interjectum	1	0.03
M kansasii	1	0.03
M mageritense	1	0.03
M malmoense	1	0.5
M marinum ^d	1	NR
M phlei	2	0.03-0.13
M simiae	2	0.03
M smegmatis	7	0.003-0.01
M scrofulaceum	1	0.03
M szulgai	2	0.03-0.06
M terrae	2	0.03-0.13
M ulcerans ^e	1	NR
M vaccae	2	0.03

BEDAQUILINE SAFETY CONCERNS

- ${\scriptstyle \circledcirc}$ Nausea, arthralgia, headache, hemoptysis, and chest pain
- QT prolongation: C/I pts with cardiac conduction abnormalities and those on other QT-prolonging agents, e.g. macrolides, fluoroquinolones, azole antifungals
- CYP3A4 interactions warrant pt monitoring, particularly when prescribed with rifamycins and/or antiretrovirals

BEDAQUILINE RESISTANCE

- MTB resistance: missense mutations of the atpE gene, disrupts capacity to bind to the c sub-unit of the F0 domain of ATP synthase
- The mutation either occurs at pos 63 with a proline substituting alanine or at pos 66 with a methionine substituting a leucine

BEDAQUILINE RESISTANCE

Three species of NTMs found to be intrinsically resistant:

- M novocastrense (MIC of 8.0 µg/mL)
- ${\scriptstyle \odot}$ M shimoidei (MIC of 8.0 µg/mL)
- M xenopi (MIC 4.0-8.0 μg/mL)

BEDAQUILINE

- Phase 3 studies needed to further evaluate safety, efficacy, and tolerability in TB pts
- Cost may represent a burden in resourcelimited countries: 24-wk course of therapy, cost= \$36 000.00





















	narable to that of stan	dard thoropy.16	une	
Adverse events are compared of the compared of	parable to that of stan occurred in ≥ 2 % of C the comparator gro	Added for Artel 80, etc. 3		
Event	CUBICIN (n=534)	Comparator* (n=558)	were considered unrelated to	
Headache	5.4	5.4	therapy and mild-to-moderate	
Diarrhoea	5.2	4.3	in intensity ¹⁶	
Resh	4.3	3.8		
Abnormal liver function tests	3.0	1.6		
Elevated creatine phosphokinase level	2.8	1.8	CUBCIN has no significant	
Hypotension	2.4	1.4	CYP450 drug-drug	
Urinary tract infection	2.4	0.5	interactions ²	
Dizziness	2.2	2.0		
Dyspnoea	2.1	1.6		

AAC

Evaluation of Telavancin Activity versus Daptomycin and Vancomycin against Daptomycin-Nonsusceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* in an *In Vitro* Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Model

Molly E. Steed,* Céline Vidalliac,* and Michael J. Rybak*b

Anti-Hactive Research Laboratory, Eugene Applebaum College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences² School of Medicine, Wayne State University¹² and Detroit Receiving Hospital⁴ Detroit, Michigan, USA Daptomycin-nonsusceptible (DNS) Saphylococcus aureus strains have been reported over the last several years. Telavancin is a lipojicycopeptide with a dual mechanism of action, as it taihibits peptidoglycan polymetration(cross-linking and disrupts the membrane potential. Three clinical DNS S. aureus strains, CB1814, R0212, and SA.644, were evaluated in an *n* tirre pharmaco-thetic/pharmacohyme. (PKPD) model with simulated endercalial vegetation (starting incounting 10⁴ CFU) gives for 120 h. Simulated regimens include telavancin at 10 mg/kg every 24 h (q24h peak, 87.5 mg/liter $_{\rm torg}$, 55.h), adptomycin at 6 mg/kg q2ah (peak, 95.7 mg/liter $_{\rm torg}$, 8 h), and vancomych at 1 g q2h (peak, 90.5 mg/liter $_{\rm torg}$, 6 h). Differences in CFU/g between regimens at 24 through 10 h were evaluated by analysis of variance with a Tike's proso hock tells. Bacteridial activity was defined as a 2-3.0 g m CFU/g decrease in colory count from the initial inoculum. MIC values were 1, 0.25, and 0.5 mg/liter (relavancin), 4, 2, and 2 mg/liter (vancomych displayed initial bacteridial activity squate displayed bocket. (See 100 h or equation of the strains of the critical activity squate displayed bacteridial activity squate strains. A 110 hove signal strained bacteridial activity squate strains. M1 10 h, telavancin was significantly before that retar reducing colony counts than avancomycin displayed initial bacteridial activity magints and strained to the related to the strains. M1 10 hove evaluated bacteridial activity squates and strained strained was significantly before the strained bacteridial activity squates and terms that and strained to the signal CE1814 (P < 0.05). Telavancin displayed bacteridial activity in strained bacteridial activity squates and betoen the trained to the signal bacteridial activity squates and betoen the trained action of the signal cells strained bacteridial activity in strained bacteridial activity squates and bacteridial activity magints CE1814 (P < 0.05). Telavancin displayed bacterid

REVIEW ARTICLE

Ceftaroline Fosamil in the Treatment of Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia and Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infections

Drugs 2012; 72 (11): 1473-1493 2012-6667/12/0011-1473/355.55/0

Thomas P. Lodise¹ and Donald E. Low²

Albany College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, Albany, New York, NY, USA
 Mount Sinai Hospital/University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada

Name (synonym)*	Lead compound (lead source)	Mode of action	Bacteria type	Indication and development status (developer)
Small molecule antibiotics				
Dalbavancin (3)	Glycopeptide (A40926) (NP)	Cell wall inhibition	G + ve	Two ABSSSi completed (Durata Therapeutics)
Oritavancin (4)	Glycopeptide (chloroere- mornycin) (NP)	Cell wall inhibition	G + ve	One ABSSSI completed, one in progress (The Medicines Company)
Omadacycline (5)	Tetracycline (NP)	Protein synthesis inhibition	G + vo/G - ve	ABSSSi (Paratek)
Eravacycline (6)	Tetracycline (NP)	Protein synthesis inhibition	G+ve/G-ve	cIAI (Tetraphase)
Solithromycin (7)	Erythromycin (NP)	Protein synthesis inhibition	G + ve/G -ve	CAP (Cempra)
Surotomycin (8)	Lipopeptide (daptomycin) (NP)	Membrane depolarization	G + ve	CDAD (Oubist)
Tedizolid phosphate (9a)	Oxazolidinone (S)	Protein synthesis inhibition	G+ve/G-ve	ABSSSi completed (Trius Therapeutics)
Delamanid (10)	Nitroimidaaple (S)	DNA and cellular damage	G+ve (TB)	NDA and phase-III, TB (Otsuka Pharmaceuticals)
Perchlozone (11)	Thiosemicarbazone (S)	Unknown	G+ve(TB)	TB completed USC Pharmasyntez)
SQ109 (12)	Ethembutol (S)	Cell wall synthesis	G+ve(TB)/ G-ve	TB; also H. pylori-associated duodenal ulo (Sequella/Infectex)
Finafloxacin (13)	Fluoroquinolone (S)	DNA gyrase and topolV	G + ve/G -ve	Acute Otitis Media; also completed phase- H. pylori and UTI (MerLion)
Delafloxacin (14)	Fluoroquinolone (S)	DNA gyrase and topolV	G + ve/G -ve	ABSSI (Rib-X Pharmaceuticals)
Avarofloxacin (15)	Fluoroquinolone (S)	DNA gyrase and topolV	G + ve/G -ve	Irritable bowel syndrome; phase-II CABP, ABSSSi completed (Furiex)
Zabofloxacin (16)	Fluoroquinolone (S)	DNA gyrase and topolV	G + ve/G -ve	CAP (Dong Wha Pharmaceutical)
Nemonoxacin (17)	Quincione (S)	DNA gyrase and topolV	G + ve/G -ve	CAP (TaiGen Biotechnology)
Ozenoxacin (18)	Quinalone (S)	DNA gyrase and topolV	G + ve	Impetigo (Grupo Ferrer Internacional) acn topical (Manuho Co)
8-Lactam8-lactamase inhibitor co	mbinations			
CXA-201 (ceftolozane (19)/ tazobactam (20))	Cephalosporin (NP)/ clavulanic acid (NP)	Penicillin-binding protein/ ß-lactamase inhibitor	G + ve/G -ve	cUTI, cIAI; also phase-II HABP/ WABP (Cubist)
CAZ104 (ceftazidime (21)/ avibactam (22))	Cephalosporin (NPV diazabicyclooctane (S)	Penicillin-binding protein/ ß-lactamase inhibitor	G + ve/G -ve	cIAI; UTI (AstraZeneca)

CONCLUSION

Efforts to improving antibiotic research atmosphere :

- the US Generating Antibiotic Incentives act
- the Innovative Medicines Initiative New Drugs for Bad Bugs (IMI ND4BB), a \$280 million fund~R&D of new antibiotics, basic research: how antibiotics penetrate G-ve bacteria
- the IDSA 10'20 initiative: development of 10 new safe, efficacious systemically admin antibiotics by 2020: to treat G-ve bacteria

CONCLUSION

- Emerging alternative approaches for prevention and Rx of bacterial infections: non-antibioticbased therapies:
 - vaccines
 - neutralizing antibodies
 - probiotic therapy
 - phage therapy
 - immune stimulation
 - -virulence factor neutralization
- Emerging initiatives to support antimicrobial stewardship