Regionalization and the challenges faced by smaller municipalities

Presented by M S Haider LIG Seminar - 25 July 2013







Definition

The process of dividing an area into smaller segments called regions

□One of the more obvious examples of regionalization is the division of a nation into states or provinces.

□Businesses also use regionalization as a management tool and a way to make certain that needs unique to particular areas are met.



"Regionalisation refers to bundling the waste disposal needs of several towns and cities and tackling the problem they share by creating one regional facility"

Source: Improving Solid Waste Management in India – P Asnani; C Zurbrugg (2007)



How can one apply regionalization in a waste management perspective?

Regional landfill sites

Waste to Energy plants

Interlinking of transfer stations

Tariff setting

Value-added centres



What are the benefits of regionalization ?

Less infrastructure to maintain

Lower lead times for authorities to license and process applications for facilities

Cost savings

Risk is shared and therefore reduced

Adds value to volume-based activities eg recycling



Why should it take priority in moving forward?

- Most municipalities have similar problems eg
- Iack of landfill airspace
- need alternatives for disposal of waste
- > limited resources whether human, capital, finance, etc
- stricter and more onerous legislation
- ever-growing waste volumes
- pressure from other sectors to compete for limited land resources



Why should it take priority in moving forward? > minimises the scope of public objections

Facilitates the construction of large landfills that can be managed professionally in a cost-effective manner

most small municipalities do not have technical personnel and adequate financial resources to set up engineered landfills



Benefits

economy of scale

Generally sanitary landfills are complex and expensive

- ➢ For feasibility need at least 500 tons per day
- Production of waste by urban settlement type

Suburban 0.8 - 3kg per capita per day Township 0.2 - 0.8kg per capita per day Informal settlement < 0.2kg per capita per day Sources: Mbande, 1996; Lombard in Palmer Development Group, 1996; Benting, 2000.

> Taking a mean of 0.8kg/person/day, implies that the population count should be a minimum of 600 000 to 700 000



Benefits

- technical experts underused for small facility
- capital costs of equipment now more affordable and better utilised
- Financial benefits:
- \succ reduction in fixed costs \leftrightarrow lower unit of waste cost
- cost savings because of sharing of overheads & maintenance costs
- > sharing of professional management
- improved bargaining power to buy better equipment and systems at lower cost



Technical Benefits

- municipality has greater access to technical resources and expertise
- use of large and sophisticated equipment

 \succ large landfills allow waste to be stacked to greater heights, which also compares to greater depth of landfilling \leftrightarrow substantial increase in available airspace

- can be located at considerable distances from municipality, which helps constraints on land availability
- proper planning and development of site, with provision of adequate buffer zone



Challenges

➤Two or more municipalities come together with different resources and different goals

> leveraging of fees to municipality outside the region

➤ transport

- Long distances
- increase in traffic
- congestion, pollution, road wear and tear



Financing

- ➤Central government
- Provincial government
- Participating municipalities
- Private investor or contractor participation



MOU among participating municipalities

executive unit formed and authorised to deal with waste disposal

> powers to decide on outsourcing operations

agreement on tipping fees based on quantity delivered or any other parameter



Public Participation and Stakeholder Engagement

inform citizens about purpose and expected benefits

➢ inform how planned changes could affect them

➢ get buy-in!!



Different types of Regional Organisations
 > Objectives:

serves as a formal management structure for regional projects

Implements planned project, and provide the necessary authority for financing, operating and monitoring SWM activities





1. Intermunicipal Agreements

➤widely used

- contracts to perform specific tasks together
- > informal arrangements or complicated legal contracts
- > advantages: flexibility & expediency
- disadvantage: difficulty in obtaining capital financing as it has to be done by municipalities individually
- > suitable for limited regional projects



2. Authorities, Trusts and Special Districts

> power to issue bonds, levy taxes, raise funds

- Contract with private companies
- > administered by Board of Directors (non-officials)
- considerable political and financial independence
 sustain coop partnerships among communities in absence of local politics



3. Non-profit Public Corporations

- owned and managed by participating municipalities
 run as independent businesses, and member municipalities pay dues
- > fundraising for additional funds
- tax-exempt bonds (in some countries)
- Board of Directors consists of appointed or elected officials from municipality – policies, budgets, operation
- Iess independent than Authorities, Trusts and Special Districts, but often makes decisions municipalities cannot
- borrowing of money over long-term debt
- > disadvantage: time consuming to establish





4. Regional Councils

councils of municipalities

or

regional planning commissions

or

- regional development centres
- organise and manage all types of cooperative projects



5. Private sector participation

➢Outsourcing

Ioss of flexibility for participating municipalities

Iengthy and complex competitive bidding process



Regional landfill site

City of Cape Town

Cape Winelands District Municipality





Waste-to-Energy plant

Drakenstein Municipality
 vs
 Stellenbosch Municipality





Impact of tariffs

When CoCT sneezes, Stellenbosch municipality catches a cold.



Figure 1: Total MSW Landfilled, 2006-2020 (t/yr)





STELLENBOSCH STELLENBOSCH • FRANSCHHOEK

MUNISIPALITEIT • UMASIPALA • MUNICIPALITY

KIWMF and Klapmuts Waste Transfer Station

- barely 10km apart
- Services two separate municipalities
- ➤ integration missing







Conclusion

Regionalisation is important for....

- > good and timeous planning
- budgeting
- ➤ sharing



A last question

How much influence on decision-making does the Solid Waste Manager have when making proposals??



Thank you Dankie Enkosi

Tel: +27 21 808 8224 | Fax: +27 21 808 8026 Physical Address: Plein Street, Stellenbosch, 7600 | Postal Address: PO Box 17, Stellenbosch, 7599 Website: www.stellenbosch.gov.za





STELLENBOSCH Stellenbosch • pniel • Franschhoek

MUNISIPALITEIT • UMASIPALA • MUNICIPALITY